

REMARKS

In the first Office Action mailed June 20, 2003, Claims 1-20 were rejected under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, for indefiniteness. Page 13 of the specification has been amended in lines 14-15 to correct a readily apparent typographical error. More particularly, ~~ON/OFF CONTROL~~ has been replaced with shutter button before reference numeral 64. No new matter has been added, and this is confirmed by the reference on page 13 in line 11, as originally filed, to "shutter button 64." Claims 1, 8, 18 and 20 have been similarly amended. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection of Claims 1-20 under 35 USC §112, second paragraph, is requested.

New Claims 21 - 25 have been added that focus on the novel combination of features of the disclosed camera. The text of the SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION section and ABSTRACT have been amended to make them consistent with the scope of new independent Claim 21. The specification has also been amended in several locations to emphasize that Figs. 5 - 7 and the text describing the same refer to preferred embodiments of the invention, consistent with the caption on page 6, line 4 and the text at page 17, line 6, as originally filed. No new matter has been added.

In the first Office Action, Claims 1-4, 6-7, 11-14, 16 and 17 were rejected for alleged obviousness over Kato in view of Parulski et al. The examiner admitted that Kato does not teach selectively generating a first sequence of high resolution still image files or a second sequence of low resolution still image files. However, the examiner alleges that it would have been obvious to "combine the camera taught by Kato with the generation of low and high resolution images taught by Parulski et al. to make a camera with the limitations of Kato that generates high resolution images for still images and low resolution images to later be used as motion images." The examiner alleges that one of ordinary skill "would have been motivated to make such a modification to obtain still images of high quality and motion images with less data such that it is possible to capture the motion images at an adequate frame rate."

To establish a *prima facie* case of obviousness, three basic criteria must be met. First, there must be some suggestion or motivation, either in the references themselves or in the

This application is in condition for allowance. Authorization is given to charge Deposit Account No. 08-2025 for the additional fee required for new Claims 21-25.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael H. Jester 9/9/03

By: Michael H. Jester
Attorney for Applicant(s)
Registration No. 28,022

**RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

SEP 09 2003


OFFICIAL

ATTACHMENT 1

DIGITAL STILL CAMERA WITH STILL AND MOTION IMAGE CAPABILITIES

ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE

A camera has an image sensor mounted in a housing for receiving light and generating output signals representative of an image. A circuit processes the output signals in response to actuation of a shutter button mounted in the housing. A control circuit is connected to the processing circuit for selectively generating a first sequence of high resolution still image files or a second sequence of low resolution still image files and for executing firmware to convert the second sequence into a motion video sequence.



Creation date: 09-08-2004
Indexing Officer: NDINH3 - NGUYET DINH
Team: OIPEBackFileIndexing
Dossier: 09360419

Legal Date: 09-10-2003

No.	Doccode	Number of pages
1	A...	2
2	SPEC	3
3	ABST	1
4	CLM	5
5	REM	4

Total number of pages: 15

Remarks:

Order of re-scan issued on